



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

09/559,542

Blume et al

EXAMINER

C. Evans

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1615

DATE MAILED:

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Roger Tate (3) Kishore Gollamandi
(2) Charesse Evans (4) _____

Date of Interview 11.14.2001

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description: _____

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☒ was not reached.

Claims discussed: claims on record + proposed claims

Identification of prior art discussed: prior art on record, in particular Dansereau Dansereau

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Tate described the

instant tablet formulations as compared to the prior art. It would appear that Dan-
seureau is the closest ref, which teaches a dual action tablet. It appears Dansereau's
tablet has an immediate release outside w/ sustained release outside. Based on the ref, the
following was suggested: ① submit data showing unexpected results in a tablet having outside immediate
release. ② introduce limitation of claim 44 into ind claim;

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☐ 1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☐ 2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

release. ② introduce limitation of claim 44 into ind claim;
or 43
17 hr release period + the time

Gollamudi S. Kishore, PhD
Primary Examiner

Examiner's Signature